

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/038,876	01/08/2002		Michael Diehl	449122019800	6436
25227	7590	06/30/2006		EXAMINER	
MORRISON		RSTER LLP	MEINECKE DIA	Z, SUSANNA M	
SUITE 300	IS DOOD.	LVAID		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, V	/A 2210	2		3623	-

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/038,876	DIEHL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Susanna M. Diaz	3623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will be provided to reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b)	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 18(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ARANDONE	N, nely filed the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ap</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 April 2002.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-19 is/are pending in the app	blication					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-19 are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce						
	-					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-						
The dath of declaration is objected to by the Ex-	armier. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/038,876

Art Unit: 3623

Page 2

1. Claims 1-7 and 9-19 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

DETAILED ACTION

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species I: A method of developing a product wherein the characteristic product quality values are determined from the modified characteristic component quality values using an evaluation, the evaluation including at least one of empirical estimating, mathematical models and simulation (claim 2).

Species II: A method of developing a product wherein the characteristic product quality values are determined by direct measuring and/or testing on the product (claim 3).

Species III: A method of developing a product wherein the characteristic product quality values of manufacturers of the components are considered in the definition of the components (claims 5-7, 9, and 10).

Species IV: A method of developing a product wherein the characteristic product quality values are confirmed by an accredited test laboratory (claim 13).

Species V: A method of developing a product wherein the characteristic product quality values are not determined by direct measurement and/or testing (claim 15).

Page 3

Species VI: A method of developing a product wherein characteristic values for CE marking, for UL approval, for NEBS approval, country-specific standards, on the permissible fire load and on electromagnetic compatibility, and/or special customer requirements for characteristic quality values are taken into consideration when establishing the characteristic product quality values (claim 18).

The species are independent or distinct because the specification discloses the species as potentially separately patentable. Each species is disclosed as potentially mutually exclusive. Since the claims recite each species separately (i.e., without referencing any permutation of the specification that combines more than one of the claimed embodiments), the Applicant is effectively asserting each species to be separately patentably, as claimed.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 4, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17, and 19 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 3623

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3623

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susanna M. Diaz whose telephone number is (571) 272-6733. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10 am - 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Susanna M. Diaz Primary Examiner Art Unit 3623

June 26, 2006